IN THE US EISTHIET COURT of machille TIL

Devorts Newson RECEIVED

Vs.
Trindry Food St. FTR 282024SES 3 Chapt. St. P.H.M.M.

Cose, 3 3:23 CV 00081 7th Amenia, Jury Trial Dom

U.S. Dismict Court

Middle Dismict of TN 06 JECTION TO SPENCERS MOTION TO DISMISS

There Are Genuine Issues of fact concerning Constitutional Questions of Law that can only be answered By The Jury. Spencer has been accused of 8th an 14th amendment violations under Retaliation, Cruel an Unusua Purishment an down right assisting" the murder of a innocent man falsely an Unlawfully imprisoned Due to several Officials violating U.S. Const. Art. II. cl. 3 "Dath of office To uphad the const. yet they make an outright mackery of the Magna Cartae", he an his Lawyers have chosen to refuse several settlement offers, one to Defendants attempts To silence me by any means necessary striking file blows outside the courtroom, the body is sick an this nation is being destroyed an the decision has been set in stone by the Rulers to clean the earth Because of Individuals with fury an all power. As a ruler, symbolic priest, an Royalty of this Nation Judge Righteously, Let It be known it brot face, ammunition, Men, or weapons that Rule this land But the "Magna Carta" is upheld by pillars of Jachin an Boazu by Journeymen as yourself welking in the light of knowledge founding fathers, It is time Judge that the wattern in the path of our The Masters are Still here. Never the less I will give the proper argument in due. "Constitutional right to trial by jury in suits at common law will be scrupulously

Sofeguarded by Supreme Court. Lyon v Mutual Ben. Health & Acci. Asso. 305 U.S. 484,59 s. Ct. 297,

"If legal claim is joined with equitable claim, right to jury trial on legal dalim Induding All leaves common to both claims, remains intact: right cannot be abridged by characterizing legal down as weldental to equitable Relief Sought Tull v United States 481 U.S. 412, 107 S.G. 1831"

Plaintiffs claims of 8 an 14th amend court wislations against spencer are Not limited to claims based on substantile right to money damages, as the Attached additional Prelief claims show flaintiff request Deciatory as well As Injunctive Relief. Requesting old the defendants violate MAT II cl.3 An thus Break There Oaths of office, an a Injunction Requesting they be Removed from office for Being Oath Breakers on This Federal Law Breakers This Legal Claims on equitable claims Establish Spencers Clotton as' most"

Case 3:23-cv-00081

